## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:05-cr-00281
	)	
V.	)	Honorable Gordon J. Quist
	)	
RICARDO ORTIZ-ESTRELLO,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on July 10, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Ricardo Ortiz-Estrello entered a plea of guilty to Count Two of the First Superseding Indictment, charging defendant with possession with intent to distribute a quantity of cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:05-cr-00281-GJQ ECF No. 144 filed 07/18/06 PageID.328 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count Two of the First

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written

plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically

reserved for the district judge. The clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for

review by the District Judge.

Date: July 18, 2006

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing.  $See~\mathrm{W.D.}$ 

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -